Week 9: Covariates and Context AIM-5014-1A: Experimental Optimization

David Sweet // 20230727

Review: LLN, CLT, A/B Testing

- As $N \to \infty$, $\bar{y} \to E[BM]$ (LLN)
 - CLT: $\bar{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(E[BM], \sigma^2)$, "measured BM is gaussian"

• **Design**:
$$N \ge \left(\frac{2.5\hat{\sigma}_{\delta}}{PS}\right)^2$$

- Measure: Randomize, $\bar{\delta} = \bar{y}_R \bar{y}_A$, se
- . Analyze: Accept B if $\bar{\delta} > PS$ and $-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!- \geq 1.64$ (check guardrails) Se
- False Positive Traps: Early stopping, multiple comparisons (use Bonferroni)

$$= \sigma_{\delta} / \sqrt{N}$$

Review: Response Surface Methodology

- Parameters:
 - categorial: discrete unordered, strings; ex: A/B
 - ordinal: discrete ordered, integers; ex: 1, 2, 3, ...
 - continuous: double; ex., [0,1] <== RSM
- Surrogate, y(x), models response surface, E[y(x)]• Find optimum, $x^* = \arg \max y(x)$, and validate by A/B test

X



Review: Bayesian Optimization

- Surrogate: Gaussian Process Regression (GPR)
 - non-parametric, estimates both $\hat{y}(x)$, $\hat{\sigma}(x)$
- Acquisition function: $af(\hat{y}(x), \hat{\sigma}(x))$
 - determines next arms, $\{x_a\}$, to measure
 - balances exploration with exploitation



Case: Catalog Search

- Shopping site search results; ex., "toothpaste"
- Goal: More clicks earlier in list
 - Like this?: Crest, Colgate, Tom's, Hello
 - Like this?: Tom's, Hello, Crest, Colgate
- ML model determines ranking score; two versions: A & B
- Compare by A/B test



Case: Catalog Search

- Metric: Rank of item the user first clicks on
 - Negate, so metric gets maximized: -rank
- Ex: Crest, **Colgate**, Tom's, Hello;
 - User clicks Colgate, metric is $y_i = -2$
- Confounder: User's avg. purchasing rate (APR)
 - Users who buy more (less) often will do so with model A or B



Confounder

- BAD: Show A to high APR, B to low APR
 - Will measure $\bar{y}_a > \bar{y}_b$, but would just measure APR difference
- GOOD: Randomize

Aside: Linear Regression for A/B Testing

- You can analyze an A/B test with linear regression
- Collect all observations of metric, y_i
 - If observation from model B, then $\chi_{b,i} = 1$
- Linear model

• Two parameters to fit \bar{y}_a , δ , just like α and β

 $y_i = \alpha + \beta x + \varepsilon_i$ $y_i = \bar{y}_a + \bar{\delta}\chi_{b.i} + \varepsilon_i$

Aside: Linear Regression for A/B Testing

- $y_i = \bar{y}_a + \bar{\delta}\chi_{b,i} + \varepsilon_i$
- Set $X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \chi_b \end{bmatrix}$, then write $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ and regress:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_{a} \\ \bar{\delta} \end{bmatrix} = \beta = (X^{\top}X)^{-1}(X^{\top}y), \begin{bmatrix} se_{\bar{y}_{a}}^{2} \\ se_{\bar{\delta}}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = se_{\beta}^{2} = VAR(\varepsilon)(X^{\top}X)^{-1}$$

egression gives you $\bar{\delta}$ and $t = \frac{\bar{\delta}}{se_{\bar{\delta}}}$ Same result as the usual way

IOW, re

Confounder

- GOOD: Randomize to break $corr(APR, \chi_b)$
 - But APR still correlated with \bar{y}
- BETTER: Randomize, & also model $corr(APR, \bar{y})$

$$y_i = \bar{y}_a + \bar{\delta}\chi_b$$

Add APR as a regressor, regress to get $\bar{\delta}$ and t = ---

$\beta_{i} + \beta_{APR}APR_i + \varepsilon_i$ δ $Se_{\bar{\delta}}$

Covariate Adjustment

- Effect:
 - $\overline{\delta}$ has lower $se_{\overline{\delta}}$
 - NB: $E[\bar{\delta}]$ doesn't change; property of the system

• Lower
$$se_{\bar{\delta}} ==>$$
 higher $t = \frac{\bar{\delta}}{se_{\bar{\delta}}}$

• Higher *t*, lower FP

• APR is called a covariate; adding to regression is called covariate adjustment

Covariate Adjustment

- Could add any other covariates
- etc.

$$y_i = \bar{y}_a + \bar{\delta}\chi_{b,i} + \sum_k \beta_k x_{k,i} + \varepsilon_i$$

Add each user's historical avg.; called CUPED

$$y_i = \bar{y}_a + \bar{\delta}\chi_{b,i} + \sum_k \beta_k x_{k,i} + \sum_u \beta_u \bar{y}_{hist,u_i} + \varepsilon_i$$

• Time of day, age of user, user's avg. rate of purchase of specific product classes,

https://exp-platform.com/Documents/2013-02-CUPED-ImprovingSensitivityOfControlledExperiments.pdf

Covariate Adjustment

• Go nuts: Build an ML regression model of all features in your feature store: $y_i = ML(x_i) + \varepsilon_i$

• Ex., ML == NN or GBM

• Then replace linear covariates

$$y_i = \bar{\delta}\chi_{b,i} + \beta_M$$

 Called MLRATE (ML Regression Average Treatment Effect) https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.07263

$ML(x_i) - \bar{y}_a) + \varepsilon_i$

Randomization With Covariates

- Thompson Sampling reduces number of observations needed
- Recall: Model $\bar{y}_a \sim \mathcal{N}(E[y_a], \sigma_a^2), \bar{y}_b \sim \mathcal{N}(E[y_b], \sigma_b^2)$
- When each user arrives:
 - Draw one value each of \bar{y}_a , \bar{y}_b from normal dists
 - Send user to model A if $\bar{y}_a > \bar{y}_b$, and vice-versa
- Covariates called context here

Contextual Bandit

Contextual Bandit

- Model $\bar{y}_a \sim \mathcal{N}(E[y_a | x], \sigma_a^2)$
- IOW:

$$y_i = \bar{y}_a + \bar{\delta}\chi_{b,i} + \sum_k \beta_k x_{k,i} + \varepsilon_i$$

- Just set
 - $\chi_{b,i} = 0$ to query \bar{y}_a
 - $\chi_{b,i} = 1$ to query \bar{y}_b

 $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2)$

Also need to update regression parameters sequentially

Contextual Bandit

- Higher precision w/covariates (context) ==>
 - Better decisions about which arm to use
- Could take it further w/interaction terms like $\chi_h x_k$
 - I.e., In some contexts, A is better
 - In some contexts, B is better
- Beyond scope of this lecture

- Randomization breaks correlation between effect (χ_{k}) and confounder (x_{k})
 - On average
 - As $N_{\text{experiments}} \rightarrow \infty$, < corr
- In any single experiment, $corr(x_k, \chi_h)$ is non-zero, $se[corr(x_k, \chi_h)] > 0$
- Try to make $se[corr(x_k, \chi_h)]$ smaller with good design

$$(x_k,\chi_b) > \to 0$$

- Regression models ("regresses out") covariate (x_k) impact on metric (y)
 - On average
 - As $N_{\text{experiments}} \to \infty$, $< \beta_k > \to E[\beta_k]$
- In any single experiment, β_k has $se[\beta_k] > 0$
- Try to make $se[\beta_k]$ even smaller with good design

$$\beta_k \propto corr(x_k, y)$$

- Lower $se_{\bar{\delta}} ==>$ higher $t = \frac{\bar{\delta}}{se_{\bar{\delta}}}$ is great
 - Lowering FP for fixed experimentation cost
- Could also capitalize on lower $se_{\bar{\delta}}$ by reducing N
 - You'd be reducing the experimentation code (for fixed FP)
- Lower $se[corr(x_k, \chi_b)]$ and $se[\beta_k] ==> \text{lower } se_{\bar{\delta}}$

Analysis time:
$$y_i = \bar{y}_a + \bar{\delta}\chi_{b,i} + \sum_k \beta_k x_k$$

- Design time: Estimate $se_{\bar{\lambda}}$ from this regression
- Don't *know* observations, y_i , $\chi_{b,i}$ $x_{k,i}$
- Instead *plan* them:
 - Use PS to design layout of $\chi_{b,i} x_{k,i}$ and estimate se_{δ}

• Analogous to
$$N = \left(\frac{2.5\sigma_{\delta}}{PS}\right)^2$$

 $_{k}x_{k,i} + \varepsilon_{i}$

- Ask: What would $se_{\bar{\delta}}$ be if I
 - Used N observations? (usual A/B test design $se = \sigma_{\delta}/\sqrt{N}$)
 - Included covariate x_k ?
 - Used n_a observations of \bar{y}_a and of n_b of \bar{y}_b ?
 - Exposed $n_{a,k-high/low}$ observations to a high/low level of x_k , and similarly $n_{b,k-high/low}$
- And so on...

- Can optimize the design to minimize $se_{\bar{\delta}}$
 - Which minimizes N
- You're seeking similar numbers of observations for
 - A and B
 - (A, x_k high), (A, x_k low), (B, x_k high), (B, x_k low)
 - etc.
- Keeps *se*'s low for all parameters in regression



Could literally run an optimizer

Good "exploration" of both arms and covariate space

- Neat trick: Pairing / matching
- Pair off each user with a very similar user
 - Similar by features: demographics, usage habits, etc.
- Expose (randomly) one user from each pair to A and the other to B
- Carefully balances exposure to covariates, reducing $se[corr(x_k, \chi_b)]$ and providing samples appropriate to reduce $se[\beta_k]$

Summary

- Reduce experimentation cost by accounting for covariates
- Design: Include covariates, minimize se_{δ}
- Measurement: Contextual Bandit lacksquare
- Analysis: Covariate adjustment